## JOINT REVIEW GROUP TO EXAMINE AUTISM PROVISION WITHIN DARLINGTON BOROUGH COUNCIL

REASON FOR REQUEST?	RESOURCE (WHAT OFFICER SUPPORT WOULD YOU REQUIRE?)			
To review and understand the provisions and services and contractual arrangements between this Council and our providers who provide the Autism provisions, diagnoses and support services for Darlington.  To ascertain that the best services possible are provided for the benefit of individuals on the autistic spectrum to enable them to thrive. To hold ourselves and our partner organisations accountable for the services provided.	<ul> <li>Representatives from relevant partner organisations, for example (and not limited to) Durham Constabulary, DDFS, TEWV, NHS, CCG, CQC, NEAS etc.</li> <li>Relevant Council Officers where necessary</li> <li>Independent advisor(s) where necessary.</li> </ul>			
PROCESS (HOW CAN SCRUTINY ACHIEVE THE ANTICIPATED OUTCOME?)	HOW WILL THE OUTCOME MAKE A DIFFERENCE?			
<ol> <li>Examine organisations, partners and the services they provide.</li> <li>Make an evaluation of the benefits to individuals on the Autism Spectrum and their carers of the services provided and investigate their effectiveness and value to the service users.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Ongoing accountability via scrutiny of partners who provide services and provision. Ongoing scrutiny of any arrangements between partners, and partners and service users to provide assurance that they are in the best interest of the service users</li> <li>Any reasonable recommendations for improvements outlined by ongoing investigation and scrutiny to be given reasonable consideration.</li> </ol>			

This document was classified as: OFFICIAL

- 3. Discuss possible improvements to the services and provisions that may be highlighted by Scrutiny and/or service users, thereby enabling meaningful inclusion of those on the Autism Spectrum and their parents/carers within the Scrutiny process in line with best practice as defined by the current Autism Strategy.
- 3. To gain a better understanding of the needs of individuals with autism and their carers and the services provided to them by this Council.
- 4. That this Council leads with and is an active proponent of good services for individuals with Autism, champions best practice within Darlington and plays an active role in keeping themselves and partners accountable.
- 5. Darlington be recognised as an Autism Friendly Town.

Signed	Councillor Rachel Mills	Date	
(Membe	r of Children and Young Po	eople Scrutiny Committee	

## SECTION 2 TO BE COMPLETED BY DIRECTORS/ASSISTANT DIRECTORS

(NOTE – There is an expectation that Officers will discuss the request with the Member)

1.	(a) Is the information available elsewhere?		Criteria
	If yes, please indicate where the information can be found (attach if possible and return with this document to Democratic Services)	1.	Information already provided/or will be provided to Member
	(b) Have you already provided the information to the Member or will you shortly be doing so?	2.	Extent of workload involved in meeting request
	No, however previous work has been undertaken by the Adults Scrutiny Committee.	3.	Request linked to an
2.	If the request is included in the Scrutiny Committee work programme what are the likely workload implications for you/your staff?		ongoing Scrutiny Committee item of work and can be picked up as part of
	Head of Service, however Members would be expected to undertake research/fact finding work		that work
	themselves	4.	Subject to another Council process for
3.	Can the request be included in an ongoing Scrutiny Committee item of work and picked up as part of that?		enquiry or
	No		examination (such as Planning
4.	Is there another Council process for enquiry or examination about the matter currently underway?		Committee or Licensing Committee)
	No	5.	About an individual
5.	Has the individual or entity some other right of appeal?		or entity that has a right of appeal
	No	6.	Some other substantial reason

This document was classified as: OFFICIAL

	6.	Is there any substantial reason (other than the above) why you feel it should not be included on the w programme?	ork	
		No, this is a topic of great interest across the committees so it is work that will be welcomed.		
Sig	jned	Position	Date	